



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,048		08/07/2003	Eghart Fischer	P03,0273	1026	
26574	7590	05/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHIFF H	ARDIN,	LLP	DABNEY, PHYLE	DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA		
PATENT D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473				2615		
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/636,048	FISCHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phylesha L. Dabney	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03		atent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the application filed on 9 January 2006 in which claims 1-12 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to teach a native signal of a screen device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexandrescu (U.S. Patent NO. 5,909,497) in view of Applicant's Specification.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claims 1-2, 5, and 7, Alexandrescu teaches a hearing aid device (figs. 1-8) comprising: at least one input transducer (11) configured to acquire an input signal (13) and transduce it into an electrical signal (15); a detector (41 inconjunction with 53; col. 8 lines 5-18) for detecting a line signal output by a screen device (col. 8 lines 5-18); a signal processing unit (5) configured to process and amplify the electrical signal, the signal processing unit being adaptable to different auditory situation (arenas, halls, televisions, etc.; col. 8 lines 5-44) by at least one adjustable parameter (parameters; col. 8 lines 10-18) that can be automatically adjusted dependent on the line signal (automatic; col. 8 lines 25-44); and an output transducer (33) to transduce the processed electrical signal into an acoustic or mechanical output signal.

Alexandrescu does not specifically teach the signal is a line.

However, since line frequencies are well-known world standards for television devices (applicant's specification pages 2-3) and it is known to use a line signal frequency for a television, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement any of the known world standards for use in the device along a line signal in the invention of Alexandrescu to minimize the need for additional programming algorithms to compensate for deviation from the normal and widely accept standards.

Regarding claims 3-4, Alexandrescu teaches a threshold value, wherein a signal strength of the line signal can be detected and compared with the threshold value to automatically adjust the parameter upon exceeding the threshold value (col. 8 lines 5-18, where the device comprehends the concept of loud volume and adjusts the parameters).

Application/Control Number: 10/636,048

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 6, Alexandrescu inherently teaches an adjustment mechanism permitting adjustment of the value or the value interval (see claim 1 above).

Regarding claims 8 and 11-12, Alexandrescu teaches a hearing aid device (figs. 1-8) comprising: at least one input transducer (11) configured to acquire an input signal (13) and transduce it into an electrical signal; a detector (41 inconjunction with 53; col. 8 lines 5-18) for detecting a signal output by a screen device (col. 8 lines 5-18); a signal processing unit (5) configured to process and amplify the electrical signal, the signal processing unit being adaptable to different auditory situation (arenas, halls, televisions, etc.; col. 8 lines 5-44by at least one adjustable parameter (parameters; col. 8 lines 10-18) that can be automatically adjusted dependent on the signal (automatic; col. 8 lines 25-44); and an output transducer (31) to transduce the processed electrical signal (33) into an acoustic or mechanical output signal, wherein the screen device is a television device and the detector is configured to detect a signal output by the television device.

Alexandrescu does not specifically teach the signal is a line signal and an automatic adjustment of the parameter ensues when the line signal frequency is 15.625 KHZ or 15.734 KHz.

However, since these frequencies are well-known world standards for television devices (applicant's specification pages 2-3) and it is known to use a line signal frequency for a television, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement any of the known world standards for use in the device along a

Art Unit: 2615

line signal in the invention of Alexandrescu to minimize the need for additional programming algorithms to compensate for deviation from the normal and widely accept standards.

Regarding claim 9, Alexandrescu teaches the parameter can automatically be adjusted given a detected line signal, and the parameter can be set back to its original value when the line signal can no longer be detected as further suggested in column 8.

Regarding claim 10, it teaches a method corresponding the apparatus taught in claim1.

The method is inherent in that it simply provides a methodology for the logical implementation found in claims 1-7 and 9.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Applicant's arguments that "Alexandrescu fails to teach or suggest the detection of a <u>line</u> signal output by the screen device and adaptation to a different auditory situation dependent on the <u>line</u> signal," the Examiner disagrees.

Since the Applicant's claim language does not stipulate that the "line" signal must be anything in particular that is outputted from the television which is clear from the specification (paragraph 0009) wherein the Applicant using the terms acoustic, electrical, and/or characteristic to represent the term "line" signal for adjusting the hearing aid parameter, the Alexandrescu reference applies. Alexandrescu (col. 8 lines 5-18) teaches the television signal, which includes

a program code as part of the composite television signal, to adjust the parameters of the hearing aid instrument.

With respect to the Applicant's arguments that "Alexandrescu fails to teach or suggest a frequency of 15.625 KHz or 15.734 KHz (which is the display standard in question for claims 8 and 11-12) adjusting the hearing aid device, the Examiner disagrees.

Alexandrescu teaches that the hearing aid accepts a signal from a television. As stated in the Applicant's specification, the frequency standards for these signals is 15.625 KHz or 15.734 KHz. The audible frequency range is 20 to 20 KHz (which includes the 15.625 and 15.734), of which an audiologist adjusts hearing aids to a frequency within the range depending on the needs of the user (in this case to receive a television standard signal, which have standard frequencies). Therefore, the rejection is being maintained.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

As an example, US 2005/0254673 A (Hsieh et al) teaches using an input transducer (microphone) in a hearing aid having a low frequency roll-off of 50 Hz and a high frequency roll-off of 20 KHz for receiving frequencies within the audible range including the Applicant's specified frequencies (paragraphs; 0046, 0064).

Application/Control Number: 10/636,048 Page 7

Art Unit: 2615

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft" when submitting an informal amendment.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Application/Control Number: 10/636,048

Art Unit: 2615

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 28, 2005

**PLD** 

SUHAN NI PRIMARY EXAMINED